

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/778,310	02/07/2001	Stephen Memory	655.00875	5647
75	590 01/08/2004	EXAMINER		
WOOD, PHILLIPS, VAN SANTEN, CLARK & MORTIMER			PATEL, NIHIR B	
SUITE 3800 500 WEST MA	DISON STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, IL			3743	·
			DATE MAILED: 01/08/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u>,</u>		Application No.	Applicant(s)	<u> (</u>
	_			
Office Action Summan		09/778,310	MEMORY ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	T	Nihir Patel	3743	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence addres	is
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may ly within the statutory minimum of the will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mode, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this commu ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	inication.
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Oc	tober 3 rd . 2003 .		
2a)⊠	<u> </u>	his action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allow		natters, prosecution as to the m	erits is
·	closed in accordance with the practice under ion of Claims			
4)⊠	Claim(s) 14-34 is/are pending in the application	on.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 15,18,20-30 and 34	is/are withdrawn from co	nsideration.	
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>14,17,19 and 31-33</u> is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
· · ·	ion Papers			
•	The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acce			
44)	Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examiner.	
12)	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	,		
,—	•	Adminici.		
•	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	un priority under 35 H S C	° 8 110(a)-(d) or (f)	
•	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	in priority under 55 0.0.c	7. 3 110(a)-(a) or (r).	
a)	1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received		
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen		Application No.	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior			ae
* (application from the International Bi See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	5-
14) <u> </u>	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	tic priority under 35 U.S.0	C. § 119(e) (to a provisional app	plication).
	 The translation of the foreign language pr Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes 			
Attachmen	at(s)			
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-15	
S Patent and T	Frademark Office			

Application/Control Number: 09/778,310

Art Unit: 3743

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 14, 15, 17, 19, and 31-33 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Election/Restrictions

2. Claims 15, 18, 20-30 and 34 have withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in Paper No 11.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 17, 14, 19, 31 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yasuhiko Patent No. JP05099581 in view of Shigenaka et al. US Patent No. 5,617,916.

Yasuhiko discloses the applicant's invention as claimed with the exception of providing slots that have flange free edges brazed to the tube runs.

Shigenaka discloses a fin tube heat exchanger that does provide slots that have flange free edges brazed to the tube runs. Therefore it would be obvious to modify Yasuhiko's invention by providing slots that have flange free edges brazed to the tube runs in order to improve the heat transfer coefficient.

Application/Control Number: 09/778,310

Art Unit: 3743

Claim 33 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yasuhiko Patent No. JP05099581 in view of Scholl US Patent No. 3,687,194.

Yasuhiko discloses the applicant's invention as claimed with the exception of providing slots that are about 90 degrees to the direction of elongation of the fins.

Scholl discloses ribbed pipe unit that does provide slots that are about 90 degrees to the direction of elongation of the fins. Therefore it would be obvious to modify Yasuhiko's invention by providing slots that are about 90 degrees to the direction of elongation of the fins in order to increase the heat transfer process.

Conclusion

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/778,310

Art Unit: 3743

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Nihir Patel whose telephone number is (703) 306-3463. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:30am to 4:30pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful the examiner's supervisor Henry Bennett can be reached

NP

December 15, 2003

at (703) 308-0101.

AU 3743

Page 4